

Self-dual gravity and self-dual Yang-Mills in the context of Macdowell-Mansouri formalism

J.A. Nieto** and J. Socorro^{§†}

* Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas
de la Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, C.P. 80010, Culiacán, Sinaloa, México.

§ Instituto de Física de la Universidad de Guanajuato,
Apartado Postal E-143, C.P. 37150, León, Guanajuato, Mexico.

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

In this work we propose an action which unifies self-dual gravity and self-dual Yang-Mills in the context of the Macdowell-Mansouri formalism. We claim that such an action may be used to find the S-dual action for both self-dual gravity and self-dual Yang-Mills.

Pacs No.: 04.50.+h, 04.90.+e

Typeset using REVTeX

*E-Mail: nieto@uas.uasnet.mx

†E-mail: socorro@ifug4.ugto.mx

Recently, using a self-dual generalization of the Macdowell-Mansouri formalism [1,2] gravitational S-duality has been considered by García-Compean, Obregón, Plebanski and Ramírez (GOPR) [3,4]. The central idea of these authors was to find a dual gravitational model by using a similar mechanism to the one used in the case of self-dual Yang-Mills theory [5]. From a fundamental point of view, however, it will be more satisfactory to have S-dual invariant unification model for gravity and Yang-Mills. As a first step in this direction we may attempt to have unified theory for self-dual gravity and self-dual Yang-Mills in the context of Macdowell-Mansouri formalism [6,7] (see also [8–17]). But, to the best of our knowledge, such an unified theory is lacking in the literature. In this paper we propose an action in the Macdowell-Mansouri context which not only reduces to self-dual gravitational action and self-dual Yang-Mills but also gives topological terms and interesting interacting terms between gravitational curvature and Yang-Mills field strength.

Let us first recall some aspects of the Macdowell-Mansouri's gravitational formalism. It is known that such a formalism mimics the Yang-Mills-type gauge theory in four space-time dimensions as much as possible and that it has been successfully applied to construct different supergravity theories [18]. The central idea is to represent the gravitational field as a connection one-form associated to some group that contains the Lorentz group as a subgroup. The typical example is provided by the SO(3,2) anti-de Sitter gauge theory of gravity. In this case the SO(3,2) gravitational gauge field $\omega_\mu^{AB} = -\omega_\mu^{BA}$ is broken into the SO(3,1) connection ω_μ^{ab} and the $\omega_\mu^{4a} = e_\mu^a$ vierbein field. Thus, the anti-de Sitter curvature

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{AB} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu^{AB} - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu^{AB} + \omega_\mu^{AC} \omega_{\nu C}^B - \omega_\mu^{BC} \omega_{\nu C}^A \quad (1)$$

leads to

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{4a} = \partial_\mu e_\nu^a - \partial_\nu e_\mu^a + \omega_\mu^{ac} e_{\nu c} - \omega_\nu^{ac} e_{\mu c}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu^{ab} - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu^{ab} + \omega_\mu^{ac} \omega_{\nu c}^b - \omega_\mu^{bc} \omega_{\nu c}^a \quad (4)$$

is the SO(3,1) curvature and

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b - e_\mu^b e_\nu^a. \quad (5)$$

It turns out that $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{4a} = T_{\mu\nu}^a$ can be identified with the torsion.

The Macdowell-Mansouri's action is

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int_M \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}, \quad (6)$$

where $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the fully antisymmetric tensor associated to the space-time, with $\varepsilon^{0123} = 1$, while ϵ_{abcd} is also the fully antisymmetric tensor but now associated to the internal group SO(3,1), with $\epsilon_{0123} = -1$. We assume that the internal metric is given by $\eta_{ab} = (-1, 1, 1, 1)$. Therefore, we have $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$. It is well known that, using (2-5), the action (6) leads to three

terms; the Hilbert-Einstein action, the cosmological constant term and the Euler topological invariant (or Gauss-Bonnet term). It is worth mentioning that the action (6) may also be obtained using Lovelock theory (see [19] and references there in).

The action (6) has been generalized in the form [1]

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \int_M \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} {}^+\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}. \quad (7)$$

Here, ${}^+\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ is given by

$${}^\pm\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} {}^\pm M_{cd}^{ab} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd}, \quad (8)$$

where

$${}^\pm M_{cd}^{ab} = \delta_{cd}^{ab} \mp i \epsilon_{cd}^{ab}, \quad (9)$$

with $\delta_{cd}^{ab} = \delta_c^a \delta_d^b - \delta_c^b \delta_d^a$. It turns out that ${}^+\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ is self-dual, while ${}^-\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ is anti self-dual curvature. Therefore, the action (7) describes self-dual gravity. In fact, in reference [2], it was shown that the action (7) can be decomposed in four terms: the Euler topological invariant, the Pontrjagin topological invariant, the Ashtekar action (as proposed by Samuel [20] and Jacobson and Smolin [21]) and the usual cosmological constant term.

In order to develop a S-dual gravitational action, the key idea in reference [3] was to consider the generalized action

$$S = \frac{1}{4} {}^+\tau \int_M \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} {}^+\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{1}{4} {}^-\tau \int_M \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} {}^-\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}, \quad (10)$$

where ${}^+\tau$ and ${}^-\tau$ are two different constant parameters. In fact, let us briefly mention how GPR found the S-dual gravitational model. First, consider the identity

$$\frac{1}{4} {}^\pm M_{ef}^{ab} {}^\pm M_{gh}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} = 2(\epsilon_{efgh} \pm i \delta_{ef,gh}), \quad (11)$$

where $\delta_{ef,gh} = \eta_{eg}\eta_{fh} - \eta_{eh}\eta_{fg}$. It is not difficult to see that (10) can be rewritten as

$$S = \frac{1}{2} ({}^+\tau - {}^-\tau) \int_M \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{i}{2} ({}^+\tau + {}^-\tau) \int_M \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} {}^*\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}, \quad (12)$$

where

$${}^*\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{cd}^{ab} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd}. \quad (13)$$

The second term in (12) can be identified with a θ term, with θ given by $\frac{1}{2}({}^+\tau + {}^-\tau)$. We may follow then a similar procedure as in the case of self-dual Yang-Mills [22–24]. In our case, such a procedure consists in writing first the Palatini type action

$$S = \int_M \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(c_1 {}^+G_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + G_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} + c_2 {}^-G_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - G_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} + c_3 {}^+\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + G_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} + c_4 {}^-\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - G_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \right) \epsilon_{abcd}, \quad (14)$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 are constants, and then considering the partition function

$$Z = \int d^+G d^-G d\omega e^{-S}. \quad (15)$$

where the action S is given by (14). Thus, after performing a partial integration over ω we obtain the desired dual action, which relevant part is given by

$$S = \int_M \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(-\frac{1}{4^+\tau} + G_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + G_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} + \frac{1}{4^-\tau} - G_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - G_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} + \dots \right) \epsilon_{abcd}, \quad (16)$$

(see reference [3] for details). (It is worth mentioning that the action (10) can be recovered from the action (14) by applying the Palatini procedure to the variables ^+G and ^-G .)

In order to unify self-dual Yang-Mill fields and self-dual gravity, we shall generalize the action (10). Our proposed action is

$$S = \frac{1}{4}^+\tau \int_M E^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{1}{4}^-\tau \int_M E^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} - \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}, \quad (17)$$

where

$$E^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + ieF^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij}. \quad (18)$$

with $e = \det(e_\mu^a)$. Here, $F_{\mu\nu}^j = F^{\alpha\beta j} e_\mu^a e_\nu^c e_\beta^d \eta_{ac} \eta_{bd}$ is the field strength which is given in terms of the gauge potential A_μ^i as

$$F_{\mu\nu}^i = \partial_\mu A_\nu^i - \partial_\nu A_\mu^i + f_{kj}^i A_\mu^k A_\nu^j, \quad (19)$$

where f_{kj}^i are the structure constants of the Lie algebra of some compact group G and g_{ij} is the Cartan-Killing metric associated to G .

We would like to prove that the action (17) unifies self-dual gravity and self-dual Yang-Mills. For simplicity, let us first focus in the action

$$^+S = \frac{1}{4}^+\tau \int_M E^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}. \quad (20)$$

Substituting (18) into (20) we find that

$$^+S = ^+S_1 + ^+S_2, \quad (21)$$

where

$$^+S_1 = \frac{1}{4}^+\tau \int_M \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}, \quad (22)$$

and

$$^+S_2 = \frac{i}{4}^+\tau \int_M eF^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} + \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}. \quad (23)$$

We notice that $^+S_1$ is just the first term of the action (10), which we already know leads to four terms: the Euler topological invariant, the Pontrjagin topological invariant, the Ashtekar action (as proposed by Samuel and Jacobson and Smolin) and the usual cosmological constant term. So, new features of our proposed action (17) should emerge from $^+S_2$. In order to discover them, let us first observe that using (11), $^+S_2$ becomes

$${}^+S_2 = \frac{i}{2} {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ab} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{1}{2} {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \delta_{ab,cd}. \quad (24)$$

Now, using (2) we find

$$\begin{aligned} {}^+S_2 = \frac{1}{2} {}^+\tau \left[i \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} (R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{ab}) (R_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} + \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{cd}) \epsilon_{abcd} \right. \\ \left. - \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} (R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{ab}) (R_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} + \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{cd}) \delta_{ab,cd} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

This expression can be written as

$${}^+S_2 = {}^+S_1 + {}^+S_2 + {}^+S_3 \quad (26)$$

with

$${}^+S_1 = \frac{i}{2} {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} R_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{1}{2} {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} R_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \delta_{ab,cd}, \quad (27)$$

$${}^+S_2 = i {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \delta_{ab,cd}, \quad (28)$$

and

$${}^+S_3 = \frac{i}{2} {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{1}{2} {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \delta_{ab,cd}. \quad (29)$$

In relation to the curvature $R_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$, notice that ${}^+S_1$ is quadratic, ${}^+S_2$ is linear and ${}^+S_3$ is independent. As far as we know interacting terms between the curvature $R_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$ and the Yang-Mills field strength $F^{\mu\nu i}$ similar to ${}^+S_1$ and ${}^+S_2$ have already been considered in the literature [25,26]. Specifically, the second term in ${}^+S_2$ has been studied in connection with particles moving faster than light [27]. On the other hand we recognize that the second term of ${}^+S_3$ is the typical action for Yang-Mills fields, while the first term is the second Chern topological invariant. In fact, using (5) it is not difficult to see that ${}^+S_3$ can be written as

$${}^+S_3 = 4i {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} {}^*F_{\mu\nu}^j g_{ij} - 4 {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F_{\mu\nu}^j g_{ij}, \quad (30)$$

where

$${}^*F_{\mu\nu}^i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta i}. \quad (31)$$

Here, $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b e_\alpha^c e_\beta^d \epsilon_{abcd}$.

Now, the action (17) can be written as $S = S_1 + S_2$, where S_1 is given by (10) and

$$S_2 = \frac{i}{4} {}^+\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} {}^+\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} {}^+\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{i}{4} {}^-\tau \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} {}^-\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} {}^-\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd}. \quad (32)$$

Thus, thanks to the change of sign in the identity (11), depending if we use ${}^+M_{ef}^{ab}$ or ${}^-M_{ef}^{ab}$, we discover that (32) leads to the result

$$S_2 = \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2 + \mathcal{S}_3 \quad (33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1 = & \frac{i}{2}({}^+\tau - {}^-\tau) \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} R_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}({}^+\tau + {}^-\tau) \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} R_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \delta_{ab,cd}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2 = & i({}^+\tau - {}^-\tau) \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} \\ & - ({}^+\tau + {}^-\tau) \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \delta_{ab,cd}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_3 = & \frac{i}{2}({}^+\tau - {}^-\tau) \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \epsilon_{abcd} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}({}^+\tau + {}^-\tau) \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F^{\alpha\beta j} g_{ij} \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \delta_{ab,cd}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Focusing our attention in $\mathcal{S}_3 = {}^+\mathcal{S}_3 + {}^-\mathcal{S}_3$ we learn that

$$\mathcal{S}_3 = 4i({}^+\tau - {}^-\tau) \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} {}^*F_{\mu\nu}^j g_{ij} - 4({}^+\tau + {}^-\tau) \int_M e F^{\mu\nu i} F_{\mu\nu}^j g_{ij}, \quad (37)$$

We recognize the second term as the Yang-Mills action with coupling constant $\frac{1}{g^2}$ given by $4({}^+\tau + {}^-\tau)$, while the first term is the second Chern class topological invariant [28] which can be identified with the θ term. So, $\mathcal{S}_3$ describes self-dual Yang-Mills gauge fields. Notice the importance to consider the anti-self-dual part in the action (17). Thanks to it the couplings between the first term and second term in (37) are different.

In this way, we have achieved a unification between self-dual gravity and self-dual Yang Mills in the context of Macdowell-Mansouri formalism. Since S-duality has been studied either using self-dual Yang-Mills or self-dual gravity our results suggest a more general S-duality for both self-dual fields. Following to GOPR procedure [3,4], let us outline this idea.

To get the dual action of (17), we should first consider the Palatini type action

$$S = \int_M E^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(c_1 {}^+G_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + G_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} + c_2 {}^-G_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - G_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} + c_3 {}^+\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} + G_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} + c_4 {}^-\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} - G_{\alpha\beta}^{cd} \right) \epsilon_{abcd} \quad (38)$$

and then to calculate the partition function

$$Z = \int d^+G d^-G dA d\omega e^{-S}, \quad (39)$$

where the action S is now given by (38). At present, we are investigating this possibility and we hope to report our results in the near future.

Let us conclude and make some final comments. In this work, we propose the action (17). This action is reduced to the following terms: Ashtekar action, Euler Topological invariant, Pontrjagin topological invariant, cosmological constant term, Yang-Mills action, second Chern class topological invariant, and a number of interacting terms between the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}^i$ and the curvature $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}^{ab}$. In other words, with the action (17) we have achieved a unified theory of self-dual gravity and self-dual Yang-Mills in the context of Macdowell-Mansouri formalism. From this result, we expect to be able to study S-duality following the GOPR procedure [3,4].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank to H. Uriarte and N. Alejo for helpful discussions. This work was partially supported by CONACyT grant No. 3898P-E9608.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. Nieto, O. Obregon and J. Socorro, Phys. Rev. D**50**, R3583 (1994).
- [2] J. A. Nieto, J. Socorro and O. Obregon, Phys. Rev. Lett. Vol **76**, 182 (1996).
- [3] H. García-Compean, O. Obregón, J. F. Plebanski and C. Ramírez, hep-th/9711115.
- [4] H. García-Compean, O. Obregón and C. Ramírez, hep-th/9802063.
- [5] F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **61A**, 23 (1998) ; hep-th/9706210.
- [6] S. W. Macdowell and Mansouri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 739 (1977).
- [7] F. Mansouri, Phys. Rev. D**16**, 2456 (1977).
- [8] P. K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. D**15**, 2795 (1977).
- [9] P. C. West, Phys. Lett **76B**, 569 (1978).
- [10] A. H. Chamseddine, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **113**, 212 (1978) .
- [11] R. Pagels, Phys. Rev. D**29**, 1690 (1984).
- [12] T. W. B. Kibble and Stelle, “Gauge theories of gravity and supergravity”, in: Progress in Quantum Field theory, Festschrift for H. Umezawa, H. Ezawa and S. Kamefuchi, eds. (Elsevier Science Publ: amsterdam, 1986) pp. 57-81.H.
- [13] P. G. Freund, “Introduction to Supersymmetry” (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1986)).
- [14] S. Gotzes and A. C. Hirshfeld, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **203**, 410 (1990).
- [15] Y. K. Ha, Gen. Rel. Grav. **27**, 713 (1995).
- [16] Y. N. Obukhov and F. Hehl, Act. Phys. Polon. B**27**, 2685 (1996).
- [17] Ch. Soo, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3484 (1995).
- [18] P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rep. **68**, 189 (1981).
- [19] see C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Class. Quantum Grav. **4**, L125 (1987) and references there in.
- [20] J. Samuel, Pramana J. Phys. **28**, L429 (1987).
- [21] T. Jacobson and L. Smolin, Class Quantum Grav. **5**, 583 (1988).
- [22] E. Witten, Selecta Mathematica **1**, 383 (1995), hep-th/ 95055186
- [23] O. Ganor and J. Sonnenschein, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**11**, 5701 (1996), hep-th/9507036.
- [24] N. Mohammedi, ”Classical Duality in Gauge Theory”, hep-th/9507040.
- [25] I. T. Drummond and S. J. Hathrell, Phys. Rev. D**22**, 343 (1980).
- [26] R. Lafrance, R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D**51**, 2584 (1995).
- [27] R. D. Daniels and G. M. Shore, Phys.Lett. B**367**, 75 (1996).
- [28] C. Nash and S. Sen, “Topology and Geometry for Physicist”, (Academic Press, 1983).